# DOCTORAL THESIS

Expression of multiple immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in malignant ascites from epithelial ovarian carcinoma

(上皮性卵巣癌腹水中のT細胞における免疫チェックポイント分子の発現)

April, 2022 (2022年5月)

Yuichi Imai

今井 雄一

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Yokohama City University Graduate School of Medicine 横浜市立大学 大学院医学研究科 生殖生育病態医学

(Research Supervisor : Kosei Hasegawa, Professor) 埼玉医科大学 国際医療センター 婦人科腫瘍科

(研究指導教員:長谷川 幸清 教授)

(Doctoral Supervisor: Etsuko Miyagi, Professor) 横浜市立大学 大学院医学研究科 生殖生育病態医学 (指導教員:宮城 悦子 主任教授)

## Expression of multiple immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in malignant ascites from epithelial ovarian carcinoma

YUICHI IMAI<sup>1-3</sup>, KOSEI HASEGAWA<sup>1,2</sup>, HIROKAZU MATSUSHITA<sup>4</sup>, NAO FUJIEDA<sup>4</sup>, SHO SATO<sup>1,2</sup>, ETSUKO MIYAGI<sup>3</sup>, KAZUHIRO KAKIMI<sup>4</sup> and KEIICHI FUJIWARA<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Saitama Medical University International Medical Center; <sup>2</sup>Gynecologic Oncology Translational Research Unit, Project Research Division, Research Center for Genomic Medicine, Saitama Medical University, Hidaka-shi, Saitama 350-1298; <sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Yokohama City University, Yokohama-shi,

Kanagawa 236-0004; <sup>4</sup>Department of Immunotherapeutics, The University of Tokyo Hospital,

Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan

Received July 21, 2017; Accepted January 22, 2018

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2018.8101

Abstract. Expression of immune checkpoint molecules, including programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1), has been reported on T cells in various types of cancer. However, the expression status of these molecules in the tumor microenvironment of epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) has not yet been studied. A total of 54 cases of malignant ascites from patients with EOC were analyzed in the present study. The expression of PD-1, lymphocyte-activation gene-3 (LAG-3), T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3 (TIM-3) and B and T lymphocyte attenuator (BTLA) on cluster of differentiation (CD)4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in malignant EOC ascites were investigated using multicolor flow cytometric analysis. The expression of PD-L1 in tumor cells, PD-L2 in HLA-DR-positive cells and galectin-9 in ascitic fluid was also analyzed. In addition, cytokine profiling of ascitic fluid was performed to understand the immune microenvironment of EOC. PD-1, LAG-3 TIM-3, and BTLA were expressed on

E-mail: koseih@saitama-med.ac.jp

Abbreviations: PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1; LAG-3, lymphocyte-activation gene-3; TIM-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3; BTLA, B and T lymphocyte attenuator; EOC, epithelial ovarian cancer; PFS, progression free survival; OS, overall survival; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell

Key words: ovarian cancer, ascites, programmed cell death protein-1, lymphocyte-activation gene-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3, B and T lymphocyte attenuator

65.8, 10.6, 4.3 and 37.6% of CD4+ T cells, and on 57.7, 5.0, 4.9 and 15.7% of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, respectively. Programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1), LAG-3 and BTLA were more frequently expressed on CD4<sup>+</sup> compared with CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. The co-expression of immune checkpoints was further investigated and results indicated that 39 (72.2%) and 37 patients (68.5%) expressed multiple immune checkpoints on CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, respectively. In addition, lower levels of TNF- $\alpha$  and interleukin-6 in ascitic fluid were significantly associated with multiple immune checkpoint expression on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. The present findings indicated that multiple immune checkpoint molecules were expressed on T cells in the EOC tumor microenvironment and the results may suggest the significance of simultaneous blockade of immune checkpoints to control EOC.

#### Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is the most lethal disease among gynecological malignancies. Unlike other carcinomas, peritoneal dissemination is the most common mechanism of disease progression in ovarian cancer, and up to 70% of cases present with massive malignant ascites with peritoneal implants (1). Among patients with advanced ovarian cancer who undergo primary debulking surgery, those with no residual disease have a much better survival than women with any residual disease. Therefore, control of dissemination seems to be the most important strategy in the treatment of ovarian cancer (2). Despite cytoreductive surgery and platinum and taxane combination chemotherapy, most patients with advanced ovarian cancer experience relapse. The peritoneal cavity is the most frequent site of recurrence, and most patients with intraperitoneal recurrence eventually become chemoresistant and die from the disease (3). Thus, development of new treatment strategies for EOC is required (4,5).

Recent studies have shown that tumor cells acquire escape mechanisms to evade host immunity in the tumor microenvironment (6,7). To circumvent these mechanisms, extensive studies have been undertaken for regulatory T cells, immune checkpoints, myeloid-derived suppressor cells and M2 type

Correspondence to: Dr Kosei Hasegawa, Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Saitama Medical University International Medical Center, 1397-1 Yamane, Hidaka-shi, Saitama 350-1298, Japan

Dr Hirokazu Matsushita, Department of Immunotherapeutics, The University of Tokyo Hospital, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan E-mail: hmatsu924@m.u-tokyo.ac.jp

macrophages (8-11). With the clinical success of immune checkpoint inhibitors such as ipilimumab and nivolumab for melanoma and lung cancer, immune checkpoints have received increased attention (12,13). Some of the early-phase clinical trials of immune checkpoint inhibitors for ovarian cancer, such as anti-programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1)/programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) antibodies, have shown manageable safety profiles and demonstrated a durable anti-tumor response in a certain patient population (14). However, their response rates remain at 10 to 15% (15-17). Therefore, we need to explore predictive biomarkers for durable responders and to understand the underlying mechanism. Combination therapy with chemotherapy may be another way to enhance the value of immune checkpoint inhibitors for ovarian cancer (18). Since we observed relatively lower rates of clinical response in recurrent EOC patients in recent early-phase clinical trials for PD-1 blockade, we recently came to recognize not only PD-1 but also other immune checkpoint molecules, such as lymphocyte-activation gene-3 (LAG-3), T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3 (TIM-3), B and T lymphocyte attenuator (BTLA), and VISTA, are expressed on T cells associated with cancer (19-21). A recent study showed that expression of PD-1 and LAG-3 on cluster of differentiation (CD)8<sup>+</sup> T cells derived from tumor-infiltrating or tumor-associated lymphocytes can result in impaired IFN-y and TNF-a production compared with CD8+ T cell subsets that express PD-1 alone (22). Dual blockade of PD-1 and LAG-3 pathways could potentially improve the therapeutic efficacy of cancer immunotherapy. Therefore, we sought to address the expression status of various immune checkpoints on T cells in the tumor microenvironment of EOC patients through the analysis of ascites cells.

Malignant ascites was thought to be an ideal source to assess the tumor immune microenvironment. Cells are basically in suspension in ascites, therefore it is easy to assess both immune and tumor cells by flow cytometric analysis. The expression of LAG-3, TIM-3, and BTLA on T cells in malignant ascites from EOC has not yet been assessed. Here, we evaluated the expression of immune checkpoint molecules on both CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in malignant ascites from EOC. In addition, expression of their potential ligands was addressed at the same time. Moreover, we measured levels of cytokines/chemokines in ascites fluid to understand the immunological background of the ovarian cancer tumor immune microenvironment.

#### Materials and methods

Patients and ascites. This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Saitama Medical University International Medical Center (no. 13-092). Eighty-nine patients who were clinically suspected to have EOC before surgery at Saitama Medical University International Medical Center (Hidaka-shi, Japan) were enrolled in this study from December 2010 to November 2014. Eighty-two patients were pathologically diagnosed with malignant tumors, while two had borderline and five had benign ovarian tumors. Of 82 malignant ovarian tumors, 80 were diagnosed as EOC. One patient was diagnosed with ovarian metastasis of a primary colorectal cancer and one with a germ cell tumor. Twenty-six cases were excluded because of insufficient levels of ascites cells for analysis. Thus, ascites cells from the remaining 54 patients were analyzed. The median age of the patients was 63.5 years with a range of 30-80 years. The EOC cases consisted of 4 (7.4%) stage I, 4 (7.4%) stage II, 35 (64.8%) stage III and 11 (20.4%) stage IV according to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) system. There were 31 (57.4%) serous, 8 (14.8%) clear cell and 6 (11.1%) endometrioid carcinoma. Furthermore there were 13 (24.1%) type I and 41 (75.9%) type II. Informed written consent was obtained from all patients in this study.

Flow cytometry analysis. The following monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) were used for flow cytometry: FITC-labeled anti-human CD4 antibody (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA), PE-labeled anti-human CD273 (B7-DC, PD-L2; BioLegend, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), anti-human CD274 (PD-L1, B7-H1; BioLegend, Inc.), anti-human CD279 (PD-1; BioLegend, Inc.), anti-human CD366 (TIM-3; (BioLegend, Inc.), anti-human CD272 (BTLA; BioLegend, Inc.), anti-human LAG3 (R&D Systems Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) and mouse IgG<sub>1</sub> isotype (BioLegend, Inc.) antibodies, PC5-labeled anti-CD3 (BioLegend, Inc.) antibody, APC-labeled anti-CD326 (EpCAM), anti-CD45 (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany), anti-HLA-DR (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA) and mouse IgG<sub>1</sub> isotype (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) antibodies, and Pacific Blue-labeled anti-CD45 (BioLegend, Inc.) and anti-CD8a (BioLegend, Inc.) antibodies. Fixable Viability Dye eFluor 780 (eBioscience) was used to exclude dead cells. Ascites cells were harvested by centrifugation, stained with the mAbs described above and analyzed on a Gallios (Beckman Coulter, San Diego, CA, USA). The data were processed using Kaluza software (Beckman Coulter).

Cytokine measurement. Cytokines, including interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-1ra, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12 (p70), IL-13, IL-15, IL-17, bFGF, eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-y, IP-10, MCP-1 (MCAF), MIP-1α, MIP-1β, PDGF-BB, RANTES, TNF-α, and VEGF in ascites fluid were measured using Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine 27-plex Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). The assay was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, ascites was incubated with microbeads labeled with specific antibodies to one of the aforementioned cytokines for 60 min. Following a washing step, the beads were incubated with the detection antibody cocktail with each antibody specific to a single cytokine for 30 min. After another washing step, the beads were incubated with streptavidin-phycoerythrin for 10 min, washed again and then the concentration of each cytokine was determined using the array reader. Cytokines of which standard deviation values were larger than 20 were subsequently analyzed.

*Measurements of galectin-9*. Galectin-9 in ascites fluid was measured using a Human Galectin-9 DuoSet ELISA development kit (R&D Systems Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

*Statistical analysis*. Differences between the groups of patients were assessed by one-way ANOVA, Student's t-test and Chi-square test. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA,



Figure 1. Analysis of immune checkpoint molecules PD-1, LAG-3, TIM-3, and BTLA on CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in malignant ascites from ovarian cancer by multicolor flow cytometry. Various immune checkpoint molecules were expressed on both CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in ascites from EOC. FS, forward scatter; SS, side scatter; INT, integral; PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1; LAG-3, lymphocyte-activation gene-3; TIM-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3; BTLA, B and T lymphocyte attenuator.



Figure 2. The median, quartile and range of expression rates of PD-1, LAG-3, TIM-3, and BTLA on CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in ovarian cancer ascites. PD-1, LAG-3, and BTLA exhibited higher expression levels on CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells than on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in ascites of EOC patients (P<0.001). PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1; LAG-3, lymphocyte-activation gene-3; TIM-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3; BTLA, B and T lymphocyte attenuator.

USA). All reported P-values were two-sided, and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

#### Results

Expression of immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in ascites from EOC patients. First, we investigated the expression of various immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in malignant ascites. Fig. 1 shows the representative analysis pipeline for the immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in malignant ascites. We observed that each immune checkpoint molecule was expressed at various levels on both CD4<sup>+</sup> and

CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in ascites from EOC. As shown in Fig. 2, 65.8% (range, 4.4-97.6%), 10.6% (1.9-43.0%), 4.3% (1.4-67.2%) and 37.6% (4.5-84.6%) of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells expressed PD-1, LAG-3, TIM-3, and BTLA, respectively. We also found that 57.7% (range, 0.7-89.4%), 5.0% (0.8-37.0%), 4.9% (1.2-33.6%) and 15.7% (1.0-48.4%) of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressed PD-1, LAG-3, TIM-3, and BTLA, respectively. We observed higher expression rates of PD-1, LAG-3, and BTLA on CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells than on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in ascites from EOC patients (P<0.001).

*Clinicopathological features and immune checkpoint molecule expression in patients with EOC*. Tables I and II summarize

Characteristcs	High PD-1/total (%)	P-value	High LAG-3/total (%)	P-value	High TIM-3/total (%)	P-value	High BTLA/total (%)	P-value
Age (years)								
≥65	12/25 (48.0)	0.78	11/25 (44.0)	0.41	10/25(40.0)	0.17	13/25 (52.0)	0.78
≤64	15/29 (51.7)		16/29 (55.2)		17/29 (58.6)		14/29 (48.3)	
FIGO stage								
I + II	5/8 (62.5)	0.44	5/8 (62.5)	0.44	3/8 (37.5)	0.44	3/8 (37.5)	0.44
VI + III	22/46 (47.8)		22/46 (47.8)		24/46 (52.2)		24/46 (52.2)	
Histology								
Serous	14/31 (45.2)	0.67	12/31 (38.7)	0.29	16/31 (51.6)	0.73	19/31 (61.3)	0.18
Clear cell	5/8 (62.5)		5/8 (62.5)		5/8 (62.5)		3/8 (37.5)	
Endometrioid	4/6 (66.7)		4/6 (66.7)		2/6 (33.3)		3/6 (50.0)	
Others	4/9 (44.4)		6/9 (66.7)		4/9 (44.4)		2/9 (22.2)	
Type								
I	10/13 (76.9)	0.03ª	9/13 (69.2)	0.11	7/13 (53.8)	0.75	4/13 (30.8)	0.11
П	17/41 (41.5)		18/41 (43.9)		20/41 (48.8)		23/41 (56.1)	
Low grade serous c carcinoma and carc containing-3: BTLA	arcinoma, low grade endomé inosarcoma were included i B and T lymphocyte attenue	etrioid carcinon in type II EOC ator: FIGO. Inte	na, clear cell carcinoma and mu. 2. PD-1, programmed cell death struational Federation of Gvnecol	cinous carcinom t protein-1; LA( logy and Obstetr	a were included in type I EOC 3-3, lymphocyte-activation ge ics.	C. High grade s ne-3; TIM-3, 7	erous carcinoma, high grade er F-cell immunoglobulin and mu	ndometrioid cin-domain
containing-3; B1LA	v, B and I lymphocyte attenu	iator; FIGU, Int	ernational Federation of Uyneco.	logy and Ubstetr	ICS.			

	x							
Characteristcs	High PD-1/total (%)	P-value	High LAG-3/total (%)	P-value	High TIM-3/total (%)	P-value	High BTLA/total (%)	P-value
Age (years)								
≥65	13/25 (52.0)	0.78	13/25 (52.0)	0.78	13/25 (52.0)	0.78	12/25 (48.0)	0.78
≤64	14/29 (48.3)		14/29 (48.3)		14/29 (48.3)		15/29 (51.7)	
FIGO stage								
II + II	6/8 (75.0)	0.13	5/8 (62.5)	0.44	3/8 (37.5)	0.44	3/8 (37.5)	0.44
III + IV	21/46 (45.7)		22/46 (47.8)		24/46 (52.2)		24/46 (52.2)	
Histology								
Serous	18/31 (58.1)	0.51	15/31 (48.4)	0.85	19/31 (61.3)	0.29	19/31 (61.3)	0.18
Clear cell	3/8 (37.5)		4/8 (50.0)		3/8 (37.5)		3/8 (37.5)	
Endometrioid	3/6 (50.0)		4/6 (66.7)		2/6 (33.3)		3/6 (50.0)	
Others	3/9 (33.3)		4/9 (44.4)		3/9 (33.3)		2/9 (22.2)	
Type								
I	7/13 (53.8)	0.75	7/13 (53.8)	0.75	3/13 (23.1)	$0.03^{a}$	4/13 (30.8)	0.11
Π	20/41 (48.8)		20/41 (48.8)		24/41 (58.5)		23/41 (56.1)	
PD-1, programmed (Federation of Gynec	cell death protein-1; LAG-3, ly cology and Obstetrics.	mphocyte-activ	ation gene-3; TIM-3, T-cell imm	unoglobulin and	d mucin-domain containing-3; F	3TLA, B and T	ymphocyte attenuator; FIGO, I	nternational

Table II. Expression of immune checkpoint molecules on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in malignant ascites from ovarian carcinoma.

carcinoma	
ovarian (	
es from	
ascit	
in malignant	
cells	
F	
*	
$\tilde{\Box}$	
ΰ	
and	
++	
Š	
G	
n CL	
on CL	
ression on CL	
les expression on CL	
olecules expression on CL	
nt molecules expression on CL	
kpoint molecules expression on CL	
heckpoint molecules expression on CL	
mmune checkpoint molecules expression on CL	
e immune checkpoint molecules expression on CL	
ple immune checkpoint molecules expression on CL	
ltiple immune checkpoint molecules expression on CL	
1ultiple immune checkpoint molecules expression on CL	
Multiple immune checkpoint molecules expression on CL	
II. Multiple immune checkpoint molecules expression on CL	
) III. Multiple immune checkpoint molecules expression on CL	

		CD4+ T cells				CD8+ T cell	ls	
Characteristcs	Multiple/total (%)	Single/total (%)	None/total (%)	P-value	Multiple/total (%)	Single/total (%)	None/total (%)	P-value
Age (years) >65	16/25 (64.0)	7/25 (28.0)	2/25 (8.0)	0.21	16/25 (64.0)	5/25 (20.0)	4/25 (16.0)	0.51
≤64	23/29 (79.3)	4/29 (13.8)	2/29 (6.9)		21/29 (72.4)	5/29 (17.2)	3/29 (10.3)	
FIGO stage								
I + II	6/8 (75.0)	1/8 (12.5)	1/8 (12.5)	0.85	6/8 (75.0)	0	2/8 (25.0)	0.67
III + IV	33/46 (71.7)	10/46 (21.7)	3/46 (6.5)		31/46 (67.4)	10/46 (21.7)	5/46 (10.9)	
Histology								
Serous	22/31 (71.0)	7/31 (22.6)	2/31 (6.5)	0.46	24/31 (77.4)	5/31 (16.1)	2/31 (6.5)	0.07
Clear cell	7/8 (87.5)	0	1/8 (12.5)		5/8 (62.5)	1/8 (12.5)	2/8 (25.0)	
Endometrioid	5/6 (83.3)	1/6 (16.7)	0		5/6 (83.3)	0	1/6 (16.7)	
Others	5/9 (55.6)	3/9 (33.3)	1/9 (11.1)		3/9 (33.3)	4/9 (44.4)	2/9 (22.2)	
Type								
Ι	12/13 (92.3)	0	1/13 (7.7)	0.06	9/13 (69.2)	1/13 (7.7)	3/13 (23.1)	0.95
II	27/41 (65.9)	11/41 (26.8)	3/41 (7.3)		28/41 (68.3)	9/41 (22.0)	4/41 (9.8)	



Figure 3. Analysis of PD-L1 and PD-L2 expression on EpCAM-positive cells and HLA class II-positive lymphocytes in malignant ascites by multicolor flow cytometry. PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1; FS, forward scatter; SS, side scatter; INT, integral.

the relationship between clinicopathological features and the expression of immune checkpoint molecules on CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in malignant ascites from EOC. We found higher rates of PD-1 expression on CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in ascites from type I EOC patients than that from type II EOC patients (76.9% vs. 41.5%, P=0.03). Likewise, high rates of TIM-3 expression were observed on CD8+ T cells in ascites from type II EOC than that from type I (58.5 vs. 23.1%, P=0.03). No correlation was found between the expression of immune checkpoint molecules on T cells and other clinical variables.

Multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on T cells in ascites from EOC. Next, we asked whether there were any overlapping immune checkpoint inhibitory pathways on T cells from patients with malignant ascites. We therefore further investigated the multiple expression of immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in malignant ascites. We considered a higher percentage above the median values as higher immune checkpoint expression. We found that 39 (72.2%) patients and 37 (68.5%) patients exhibited expression of multiple immune checkpoint molecules on CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, respectively. We also examined the relationship between multiple immune checkpoint expression and clinicopathological factors but did not find any correlation (Table III).

PD-L1 and PD-L2 expression on ascites cells from EOC patients. We next assessed the expression of PD-1 ligands, such as PD-L1 and PD-L2, on tumor cells and antigen-presenting

cells in malignant ascites. Of the 54 EOC patients, 30 cases could be analyzed for PD-L1 and PD-L2. Fig. 3 shows the representative analyses of PD-L1 and PD-L2 expression on EpCAM-positive cells and HLA class II-positive lymphocytes in malignant ascites, respectively. We investigated PD-L1 and PD-L2 expression based on the PD-1 expression status of T cells from the same patient. We defined above the median values of percent PD-1 expression as high PD-1 expression. As shown in Fig. 4A, PD-L1 expression was found in 43.9% (3.5-91.7%) of tumor cells in patients who had high PD-1-expressing CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, but only 27.3% (8.5-60.0%) of tumor cells in patients who had low PD-1-expressing CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (P=0.02). However, no difference in PD-L1 expression was observed between patients with high and low PD-1 expression on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, at 34.1% (3.5-91.7%) and 27.3% (8.5-68.0%), respectively. As shown in Fig. 4B, PD-L2 expression was 2.4% (0.8-8.7%) in patients who had high PD-1 on CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and 3.4% (1.2-10.7%) in patients who had low PD-1 on CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (P=0.63), and was 2.3% (0.8-10.7%) in patients who had high PD-1 on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and 3.2% (1.6-10.7%) in patients who had low PD-1 on CD8+ T cells (P=0.99). No correlation was found between PD-L1/2 expression and clinical variables (Table IV). Moreover, we did not observe any association between PD-L1/2 expression and clinical outcomes (data not shown).

We also investigated the levels of galectin-9, a ligand of TIM-3, in ascites fluids from EOC patients. We observed higher levels of galectin-9 in patients who had high TIM-3 on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells compared with those who had low TIM-3



Figure 4. (A) Expression of PD-L1 on EpCAM-positive cells in high or low PD-1 expression groups of the CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in ascites from EOC. (B) Expression of PD-L2 on HLADR-positive cells in high or low PD-1 expression groups of the CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in ascites from EOC. No correlation between PD-L2 and PD-1 on CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells was identified (P=N.S.). (C) Evaluation of galectin-9 in ascites fluid classified by high or low TIM-3 expression on CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells.

(6,004 pg/ml [3,584.6-9,562.6 pg/ml]) vs. 4,067.0 pg/ml [667.5-9,428.6 pg/ml]) (P=0.04).

Relationship between immune checkpoint expression and ascites cytokine profile. To further investigate the local immune inhibitory environment, we determined the cytokine and chemokine profile of ascitic fluids by suspension arrays. We assessed the relationship between immune checkpoint molecule expression and ascites cytokine profile (Table V). We observed that lower TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 levels in ascitic fluids were significantly associated with multiple immune checkpoint expression on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (P=0.03 and P=0.02, respectively). Higher VEGF and lower G-CSF levels were also associated with multiple immune checkpoint expression with borderline significance (P=0.06).

#### Discussion

In this study, we focused on the expression of various immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in the tumor microenvironment of EOC through the analysis of ascites cells. PD-1 has been reported to be upregulated on T cells from patients with EOC. PD-1 expression on T cells isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and ascites from patients with malignant ovarian tumors was high compared with benign/borderline in ovarian tumors (23). However, the expression status of other immune checkpoint molecules such as LAG-3, TIM-3, or BTLA on T cells in EOC patients have not been addressed yet, with the exception of a report about TIM-3 on PBMCs of EOC patients (24). The co-expression status of immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in the tumor microenvironment of EOC is important to understand the complex immune inhibitory mechanism of EOC patients.

We investigated the expression of various immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in malignant ascites from EOC patients. Among them, PD-1 was the most frequently expressed, with median expression rates of 65.8 and 57.7% on CD4+ T cells and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, respectively. Conversely, the median expression rates of LAG-3, TIM-3, and BTLA were 10.6, 4.3, and 37.6% of CD4+ T cells; and 5.0, 4.9, and 15.7% of CD8+ T cells, respectively. These data suggest the immune inhibitory environment caused by immune checkpoint molecules in ascites of EOC patients was PD-1/PD-L1-axis dominant, or might be because of varying sensitivity/specificity for each antibody to its molecule. This aspect should be carefully considered when comparing the expression levels and/or rates of different molecules by different antibodies. However, we at least found not only PD-1 but also LAG-3, TIM-3, and BTLA were expressed on T cells in the tumor microenvironment of EOC.

We did not observe a correlation between the expression of any of the immune checkpoint molecules examined and clinicopathological factors in our study. However, PD-1 expression was reported to be higher in advanced-stage breast (25), renal (26) and pancreatic cancers (27) than in the respective early-stage disease. Thus, the expression of immune checkpoint molecules in EOC seems to be independent from these factors, unlike in other cancer types. In other words, immune checkpoints were expressed even in early-stage EOC as well as in advanced-stage EOC. These results indicate that checkpoint blockade therapy can serve not only as second-line treatment for metastatic disease but as an adjuvant immunotherapy for early-stage EOC patients after initial surgery. With regard to patient survival, some of the previous studies reported that immune checkpoint molecule expression was associated with clinical outcomes (25,26,28-30). The presence of PD-1-expressing tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes correlates with poor prognosis in a number of cancer types, including lung (28), breast (25,29), renal (26), and nasopharyngeal cancer (30), and a low percentage of PD-1 expression on PBMCs was

6	4	6	5
~		~	~

Characteristcs	High PD-L1/total (%)	P-value	High PD-L2/total (%)	P-value
Age (years)		0.46		0.46
≥65	8/14 (57.1)		8/14 (57.1)	
≤64	7/16 (43.8)		7/16 (43.8)	
FIGO stage		0.28		1
I + II	1/4 (25.0)		2/4 (50.0)	
III + IV	14/26 (53.8)		13/26 (50.0)	
Histology		0.29		0.25
Serous	10/21 (47.6)		9/21 (42.9)	
Clear cell	1/3 (33.3)		1/3 (33.3)	
Endometrioid	1/3 (33.3)		3/3 (100.0)	
Others	3/3 (100.0)		2/3 (66.7)	
Туре		1		0.36
Ι	3/6 (50.0)		4/6 (66.7)	
II	12/24 (50.0)		11/24 (45.8)	

Table IV. Expression of PD-L1 on EpCAM-positive cells and PD-L2 on HLA-DR-positive cells.

PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1; PD-L2, programmed cell death-ligand 2; FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

recently shown to be associated with improved progression free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in ovarian cancer patients (31). We did not see a correlation between immune checkpoint expression and survival in EOC patients. This result might be because of a different source of T cells or the detection methods we used, or because of an insufficient number of events to determine it as a prognostic factor.

Since various immune checkpoint pathways have been reported in cancers (21), we further investigated the expression status of multiple immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in malignant ascites. We found that 72.2 and 68.5% patients had high multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, respectively. Data for multiple immune checkpoint molecules may be a reason for the relative low response rates of current PD-1/PD-L1 blockade therapy for recurrent EOC patients, which demonstrated response rates of 10 to 15% at most (15-17). Our findings may explain in part that single immune checkpoint inhibition alone may not be sufficient to control the growth of EOC. It is reasonable to consider combination therapy of immune checkpoint inhibitors for EOC patients. Several clinical trials for combination therapies of PD-1 inhibitor with other cancer immunotherapies are currently ongoing. In particular, a combination of nivolumab and ipilimumab for the treatment of melanoma increased PFS compared with either agent alone (32), and similar combination therapies are now being investigated in ovarian cancer (33). Double checkpoint blockade in which anti-PD-1 antibody is combined with immune modulators such as anti-LAG-3 antibody is currently under investigation for solid tumors as well (22). Based on our findings, combination therapy for the blockade of various immune checkpoint pathways would be effective as a multiple-targeting immunotherapy.

When we focused on the relationship between the expression of each immune checkpoint and its ligand, we observed expression of PD-1 on CD4+ and TIM-3 on CD8+ T cells was correlated with PD-L1 and galection-9 in ascites, respectively. We suggest that it may reflect an immune suppressive environment for EOC. Immune checkpoints and/or their ligand expression were considered as candidate biomarkers of EOC for immune checkpoint blockade therapy (34-37). Therefore, we postulate that EOC is a good target for blockade therapy of PD-1/PD-L1 and TIM-3/galectin-9 pathways. The relationship between PD-L1 and clinical outcomes is another issue because it remains controversial. Some reports have shown that PD-L1 expression is associated with poorer prognosis (34,35), but recent studies have shown better prognosis (36,37) in ovarian cancer. We demonstrated no correlation between PD-L1/L2 expression and clinical variables and outcome in this study, which might be because of the different antibodies, detection method, or different source of cancer cells (ascites or tumor) used.

To further evaluate the immune inhibitory environment in malignant ascites in patients with EOC, we assessed the relationship between immune checkpoint molecule expression and ascites cytokine/chemokine profiles. We observed lower TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 in ascitic fluids-indicative of impaired local inflammation-were significantly associated with multiple immune checkpoint expression on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. This result could reflect a strong immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment in patients who had multiple immune checkpoint expression on their T cells.

The limitations of our study need to be addressed. First, our study was not a prospective study and the number of cases we assessed was slightly limited. Second, our immune checkpoint expression data were not based on single T cells. We do not know whether individual T cells express multiple immune checkpoint molecules or not.

In conclusion, we report in this study that expression of various immune checkpoint molecules was observed on both CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in ascites from EOC patients, and

	ч.	
	Ä	
	ē	
	H	
	5	
	G	
	ц	
	13	
	ar	
	$\geq$	
	2	
	Ä	
,	H	
	20	
	ğ	
•	5	
	as	
	÷	
	a	
	E	
:	≞	
	1a	
	Π	
	П	
	S	
÷	3	
	ŭ	
E	-	
;	+	
1	ິ	
ł	5	
,	2	
	ğ	
	8	
	4	
(		
(	$\mathcal{O}$	
	n	
	uo u	
	on on	
	sion on	
	ession on	
	pression on	
	expression on	
	expression on	
	ile expression on	
	cule expression on	
	lecule expression on	
•	nolecule expression on	
	t molecule expression on	
	int molecule expression on	
	ount molecule expression on	
	kpoint molecule expression on	
	eckpoint molecule expression on	
•	heckpoint molecule expression on	
•	e checkpoint molecule expression on	
-	ne checkpoint molecule expression on	
•	nune checkpoint molecule expression on	
	nmune checkpoint molecule expression on	
•	immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
•	le immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
•	tple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
	Itiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
•	aultiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
•	multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
	in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
	ss in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
	nes in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
• • • •	kines in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
•	tokines in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
•	ytokines in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
	. Cytokines in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
	V. Cytokines in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
	le V. Cytokines in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
	tble V. Cytokines in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	
	able V. Cytokines in multiple immune checkpoint molecule expression on	

		CD4+ T cell	S			CD8+ T cel	ls	
Characteristcs	Multiple (n=39)	Single (n=11)	None (n=4)	P-value	Multiple (n=37)	Single (n=10)	None (n=7)	P-value
IFN $\gamma$ (pg/ml)	378.2	372.8	430.2	0.85	369.4	394.3	420.6	0.48
TNFa (pg/ml)	165.0	174.4	281.2	0.33	150.2	202.0	267.7	$0.03^{a}$
IL1Ra (pg/ml)	365.0	380.8	447.3	0.71	333.5	463.2	454.3	0.15
IL1b (pg/ml)	9.0	10.1	13.2	0.65	7.4	14.2	13.4	0.11
IL2 (pg/ml)	9.4	8.9	11.0	0.97	8.9	9.6	12.1	0.20
IL4 (pg/ml)	7.5	6.7	8.8	0.81	6.9	8.4	9.0	$0.04^{a}$
IL5 (pg/ml)	6.4	6.2	7.6	0.94	6.2	7.0	7.3	0.63
IL6 (pg/ml)	5,315.4	4,859.1	6,607.5	0.99	4,411.6	5,742.1	9,246.3	$0.02^{a}$
IL7 (pg/ml)	25.3	26.7	23.9	0.88	25.9	25.1	24.1	0.78
IL8 (pg/ml)	905.9	1,410.5	710.7	09.0	768.5	1,862.4	907.8	0.23
IL9 (pg/ml)	93.7	<i>T.</i> 76	106.4	0.75	91.6	101.9	106.1	0.53
IL10 (pg/ml)	178.5	179.2	187.0	0.96	153.0	235.3	231.0	0.12
IL12 bp70 (pg/ml)	476.2	500.6	509.5	0.80	519.8	327.1	528.3	0.28
IL13 (pg/ml)	31.6	35.5	36.2	0.46	33.8	25.7	37.9	0.56
IL15 (pg/ml)	19.4	31.1	26.0	$0.05^{a}$	21.4	24.9	23.8	0.56
IL17a (pg/ml)	90.2	104.0	124.3	0.42	88.7	99.4	124.9	0.36
CCL2 (MCP1) (pg/ml)	752.7	1179.0	1052.9	0.21	1020.1	605.1	468.1	0.12
CCL3 (MIP1a) (pg/ml)	20.2	18.0	15.7	0.85	15.6	37.7	11.9	0.41
CCL4 (MIP1b) (pg/ml)	825.1	676.4	787.5	0.78	746.7	1031.0	667.4	0.75
CCL5 (Rantes) (pg/ml)	122.4	221.1	107.1	0.36	127.9	255.5	50.9	0.55
CXCL10 (IP10) (pg/ml)	164,748.8	2,316,341.3	80,073.0	$0.02^{a}$	813,639.9	72,861.6	312,573.7	0.28
CCL11 (Eotaxin) (pg/ml)	349.8	567.7	297.8	0.25	426.0	414.8	188.9	0.40
GMCSF (pg/ml)	95.4	68.6	89.4	0.15	84.7	84.3	116.2	0.38
bFGF (pg/ml)	71.9	7.67	73.9	0.64	77.4	61.1	74.9	0.41
VEGF (pg/ml)	7,117.9	11,619.7	5,046.1	0.56	10,638.1	1,843.0	2,943.0	0.06
PDGFbb (pg/ml)	153.8	145.1	82.8	0.76	178.0	0.06	6.69	0.22
GCSF (pg/ml)	105.5	91.5	110.2	0.73	87.8	107.1	171.9	0.06
"Statistical significance. CD, clu: basic fibroblast growth factor; V receptor antagonist; TNF, tumor	ster of differentiation; CC EGF, vascular endothelia necrosis factor; JFN, inte	L, chemokine (C-C mot l growth factor; PDGFb rferon.	if) ligand; CXCL, ch b, platelet derived gr	emokine (C-X-C owth factor-BB;	' motif) ligand; GMCSF, g GCSF, granulocyte colon	ranulocyte-macrophage y stimulating factor; IL	colony-stimulating f , interleukin; IL1Ra,	actor; bFGF, interleukin-1

that this expression was independent of clinicopathological factors. There seemed to be a partial correlation between immune checkpoint expression and their respective ligands. In addition, we observed approximately 70% of the EOC patients exhibited multiple immune checkpoint expression, and those patients had suppressive levels of inflammatory cytokines in their tumor microenvironment. These data suggest the potential application of combination therapy for immune checkpoint blockade in high-risk stage I/II EOC patients as well as advanced-stage EOC patients.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. A. Kurosaki and Dr. T. Hanaoka for their helpful support in sample collection during our study, and Ms. A. Miyara for her technical assistance.

#### References

- 1. Roett MA and Evans P: Ovarian cancer: An overview. Am Fam Physician 80: 609-616, 2009.
- Rosen B, Laframboise S, Ferguson S, Dodge J, Bernardini M, Murphy J, Segev Y, Sun P and Narod SA: The impacts of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and debulking surgery on survival from advanced ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 134: 462-467, 2014.
- 3. Hennessy BT, Coleman RL and Markman M: Ovarian cancer. Lancet 374: 1371-1382, 2009.
- 4. Coleman RL, Monk BJ, Sood AK and Herzog TJ: Latest research and clinical treatment of advanced-stage epithelial ovarian cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 10: 211-224, 2013.
- Kandalaft LE, Powell DJ Jr, Singh N and Coukos G: Immunotherapy for ovarian cancer: What's next? J Clin Oncol 29: 925-933, 2011.
- Zhang L, Conejo-Garcia JR, Katsaros D, Gimotty PA, Massobrio M, Regnani G, Makrigiannakis A, Gray H, Schleinger K, Liebman MN, *et al*: Intratumoral T cells, recurrence, and survival in epithelial ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med 348: 203-213, 2003.
- Dunn GP, Bruce AT, Ikeda H, Old LJ and Schreiber RD: Cancer immunoediting: From immunosurveillance to human escape. Nat Immunol 3: 991-998, 2002.
- Khong HT and Restifo NP: Natural selecyion of tumor variants in the generation of 'tumor escape' phenotypes. Nat Immunol 3: 999-1005, 2002.
- Curiel TJ, Coukos G, Zou L, Alvarez X, Cheng P, Mottram P, Evdemon-Hogen M, Conejo-Garcia JR, Zhang L, Burow M, *et al*: Specific recruitment of regulatory T cells in ovarian carcinoma fosters immune privilege and predicts reduced survival. Nat Med 10: 942-949, 2004.
- Gordon IO and Freedman RS: Defective antitumor function of monocyte-derived macrophages from epithelial ovarian cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res 12: 1515-1524, 2006.
   Tsai HF and Hsu PN: Cancer immunotherapy by targeting
- Tsai HF and Hsu PN: Cancer immunotherapy by targeting immune checkpoints: Mechanism of T cell dysfunction in cancer immunity and new therapeutic target. J Biomed Sci 24: 35, 2017.
- Topalian SL, Hodi FS, Brahmer JR, Gettinger SN, Smith DC, McDermott DF, Powderly JD, Carvajal RD, Sosman JA, Atkins MB, *et al*: Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. N Engl J Med 366: 2443-2454, 2012.
- 13. Rizvi NA, Mazières J, Planchard D, Stinchcombe TE, Dy GK, Antonia SJ, Horn L, Lena H, Minenza E, Mennecier B, et al: Activity and safety of nivolumab, an anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor, for patients with advanced, refractory squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (CheckMate 063): A phase 2, single-arm trial. Lancet Oncol 16: 257-265, 2015.
- Mittica G, Genta S, Aglietta M and Valabrega G: Immune checkpoint inhibitors: A new opportunity in the treatment of ovarian cancer? Int J Mol Sci 17: pii: E1169, 2016.
- 15. Hamanishi J, Mandai M, Ikeda T, Minami M, Kawaguchi A, Murayama T, Kanai M, Mori Y, Matsumoto S, Chikuma S, *et al*: Safety and antitumor activity of anti-PD-1 antibody, nivolumab, in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol 33: 4015-4022, 2015.

- 16. Disis ML, Patel MR, Pant S, Hamilton EP, Lockhart AC, Kelly K, Beck JT, Gordon MS, Weiss GJ, Taylor MH, et al: Avelumab (MSB0010718C; anti-PD-L1) in patients with recurrent/refractory ovarian cancer from the JAVELIN Solid Tumor phase Ib trial: Safety and clinical activity. J Clin Oncol 34 (15 Suppl): S5533, 2016.
- 17. Varga A, Piha-Paul SA, Ott PA, Mehnert JM, Berton-Rigaud D, Johnson EA, Cheng JD, Yuan S, Rubin EH and Matei DE: Antitumor activity and safety of pembrolizumab in patients (pts) with PD-L1 positive advanced ovarian cancer: Interim results from a phase Ib study. J Clin Oncol 33 (15 Suppl): S5510, 2015.
- Mandai M, Hamanishi J, Abiko K, Matsumura N, Baba T and Konishi I: Anti-PD-L1/PD-1 immune therapies in ovarian cancer: Basic mechanism and future clinical application. Int J Clin Oncol 21: 456-461, 2016.
- Nirschl CJ and Drake CG: Molecular pathways: Coexpression of immune checkpoint molecules: Signaling pathways and implications for cancer immunotherapy. Clin Cancer Res 19: 4917-4924, 2013.
- Lines JL, Sempere LF, Broughton T, Wang L and Noelle R: VISTA is a novel broad-spectrum negative checkpoint regulator for cancer immunotherapy. Cancer Immunol Res 2: 510-517, 2014.
- Collin M: Immune checkpoint inhibitors: A patent review (2010-2015). Expert Opin Ther Pat 26: 555-564, 2016.
   Matsuzaki J, Gnjatic S, Mhawech-Fauceglia P, Beck A,
- 22. Matsuzaki J, Gnjatic S, Mhawech-Fauceglia P, Beck A, Miller A, Tsuji T, Eppolito C, Qian F, Lele S, Shrikant P, et al: Tumor-infiltrating NY-ESO-1-specific CD8+ T cells are negatively regulated by LAG-3 and PD-1 in human ovarian cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 7875-7880, 2010.
- 23. Maine CJ, Aziz NH, Chatterjee J, Hayford C, Brewig N, Whilding L, George AJ and Ghaem-Maghami S: Programmed death ligand-1 over-expression correlates with malignancy and contributes to immune regulation in ovarian cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother 63: 215-224, 2014.
- 24. Wu J, Liu C, Qian S and Hou H: The expression of Tim-3 in peripheral blood of ovarian cancer. DNA Cell Biol 32: 648-653, 2013.
- 25. Sun S, Fei X, Mao Y, Wang X, Garfield DH, Huang O, Wang J, Yuan F, Sun L, Yu Q, *et al*: PD-1(+) immune cell infiltration inversely correlates with survival of operable breast cancer patients. Cancer Immunol Immunother 63: 395-406, 2014.
- 26. Thompson RH, Dong H, Lohse CM, Leibovich BC, Blute ML, Cheville JC and Kwon ED: PD-1 is expressed by tumor-infiltrating immune cells and is associated with poor outcome for patients with renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 13: 1757-1761, 2007.
- 27. Wang Y, Lin J, Cui J, Han T, Jiao F, Meng Z and Wang L: Prognostic value and clinicopathological features of PD-1/PD-L1 expression with mismatch repair status and desmoplastic stroma in Chinese patients with pancreatic cancer. Oncotarget 8: 9354-9365, 2017.
- 28. Lafuente-Sanchis A, Zúñiga Á, Estors M, Martínez-Hernández NJ, Cremades A, Cuenca M and Galbis JM: Association of PD-1, PD-L1, and CTLA-4 gene expression and clinicopathologic characteristics in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. Clin Lung Cancer 18: e109-e116, 2017.
- 29. Muenst S, Soysal SD, Gao F, Obermann EC, Oertli D and Gillanders WE: The presence of programmed death 1 (PD-1)-positive tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes is associated with poor prognosis in human breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 139: 667-676, 2013.
- 30. Hsu MC, Hsiao JR, Chang KC, Wu YH, Su IJ, Jin YT and Chang Y: Increase of programmed death-1-expressing intratumoral CD8 T cells predicts a poor prognosis for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Mod Pathol 23: 1393-1403, 2010.
- 31. Chatterjee J, Dai W, Aziz NHA, Teo PY, Wahba J, Phelps DL, Maine CJ, Whilding L, Dina R, Trevisan G, *et al*: Clinical use of programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) and its ligand (PD-L1) expression as discriminatory and predictive markers in ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res: 23: 3453-3460, 2017.
- 32. Hodi FS, Chesney J, Pavlick AC, Robert C, Grossmann KF, McDermott DF, Linette GP, Meyer N, Giguere JK, Agarwala SS, *et al*: Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab versus ipilimumab alone in patients with advanced melanoma: 2-year overall survival outcomes in a multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 17: 1558-1568, 2016.
- 33. National Institunes of Health: Nivolumab with or without ipilimumab in treating patients with persistent or recurrent epithelial ovarian, primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer. National Institunes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, 2015. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02498600. Accessed July 15, 2015.

- 34. Abiko K, Mandai M, Hamanishi J, Yoshioka Y, Matsumura N, Baba T, Yamaguchi K, Murakami R, Yamamoto A, Kharma B, et al: PD-L1 on tumor cells is induced in ascites and promotes peritoneal dissemination of ovarian cancer through CTL dysfunction. Clin Cancer Res 19: 1363-1374, 2013.
- 35. Hamanishi J, Mandai M, Iwasaki M, Okazaki T, Tanaka Y, Yamaguchi K, Higuchi T, Yagi H, Ta kakura K, Minato N, et al: Programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 and tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T lymphocytes are prognostic factors of human ovarian cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 3360-3365, 2007.
- 36. Webb JR, Milne K, Kroeger DR and Nelson BH: PD-L1 expression is associated with tumor-infiltrating T cells and favorable prognosis in high-grade serous ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 141: 293-302, 2016.
- 37. Darb-Esfahani S, Kunze CA, Kulbe H, Sehouli J, Wienert S, Lindner J, Budczies J, Bockmayr M, Dietel M, Denkert C, et al: Prognostic impact of programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) and PD-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression in cancer cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in ovarian high grade serous carcinoma. Oncotarget 7: 1486-1499, 2016.

### I. 主論文

Expression of multiple immune checkpoint molecules on T cells in malignant ascites from epithelial ovarian carcinoma

Imai Y, Hasegawa K, Matsushita H, Fujieda N, Sato S, Miyagi E, Kakimi K, Fujiwara K. Oncol Lett. 2018 May; 15(5): 6457-68.

II. 参考論文

- 希少がん疾患各論 婦人科の腫瘍 奇形腫発生のがん 今井雄一、宮城悦子 日本臨床(2021年、79巻(増刊)、394-397頁)
- 婦人科悪性腫瘍に合併した Trousseau 症候群の予後に関する検討
   萩原真由美、松永竜也、紙谷菜津子、祐森明日菜、鈴木幸雄、今井雄一、水島大一、ル イズ横田奈朋、山本紘司、宮城悦子.
   日本婦人科腫瘍学会雑誌(2021年、39巻(2号)、528-534頁)
- A phase 2 study of intraperitoneal carboplatin plus intravenous dose-dense paclitaxel in front-line treatment of suboptimal residual ovarian cancer.
   Hasegawa K, Shimada M, Takeuchi S, Fujiwara H, Imai Y, Iwasa N, Wada S, Eguchi H, Oishi T, Sugiyama T, Suzuki M, Nishiyama M, Fujiwara K.
   Br J Cancer. 2020 Mar;122(6):766-770.

- 4. 妊娠中に診断に至った子宮頸癌の1例.
   立花貴彦、今井雄一、鈴木幸雄、須郷慶信、ルイズ横田奈朋、松永竜也、宮城悦子.
   神奈川産科婦人科学会誌(2020年、57巻(1号)、36-40頁)
- 91. 卵巣癌に対するベバシズマブ併用化学療法中手指に黒色壊死を起こした1例.
   久保倉優香、松永竜也、萩原真由美、飯島崇善、紙谷菜津子、祐森明日菜、永田亮、今井雄一、水島大一、ルイズ横田奈朋、倉澤健太郎、中村朋美、宮城悦子.
   神奈川産科婦人科学会誌(2020年、57巻(1号)、20-24頁)
- 6. Phase I Study of Multiple Epitope Peptide Vaccination in Patients With Recurrent or Persistent Cervical Cancer.
  Hasegawa K, Ikeda Y, Kunugi Y, Kurosaki A, Imai Y, Kohyama S, Nagao S, Kozawa E, Yoshida K, Tsunoda T, Nakamura Y, Fujiwara K.
  J Immunother. 2018 May;41(4):201-207.
- Laparoscopic repair of the vaginal cuff dehiscence: Dehiscence occurring after the first sexual intercourse after the laparoscopic modified radical hysterectomy.
   Suzuki Y, Imai Y, Ruiz-Yokota N, Miyagi E.
   Clin Case Rep. 2018 Nov 5;6(12):2495-2497.
- 当院における全腹腔鏡下準広汎子宮全摘術の安全性の評価と標準化に向けた今後の課題.
   齊藤真、松永竜也、紙谷菜津子、太田幸秀、鈴木幸雄、浅野涼子、今井雄一、ルイズ横田奈朋、中村朋美、宮城悦子.

日本產科婦人科内視鏡学会雜誌(2018年、34巻(2号)、178-183頁)

 The frequency of neoantigens per somatic mutation rather than overall mutational load or number of predicted neoantigens per se is a prognostic factor in ovarian clear cell carcinoma.

Matsushita H, Hasegawa K, Oda K, Yamamoto S, Nishijima A, Imai Y, Asada K, Ikeda Y, Karasaki T, Fujiwara K, Aburatani H, Kakimi K. Oncoimmunology. 2017 Jun 16;6(8):e1338996.

- Clinical significance of T cell clonality and expression levels of immune-related genes in endometrial cancer.
   Ikeda Y, Kiyotani K, Yew PY, Sato S, Imai Y, Yamaguchi R, Miyano S, Fujiwara K, Hasegawa K, Nakamura Y.
   Oncol Rep. 2017 May;37(5):2603-2610.
- Phase 1 dose-escalation study of single-agent veliparib in Japanese patients with advanced solid tumors.

Nishikawa T, Matsumoto K, Tamura K, Yoshida H, Imai Y, Miyasaka A, Onoe T, Yamaguchi S, Shimizu C, Yonemori K, Shimoi T, Yunokawa M, Xiong H, Nuthalapati S, Hashiba H, Kiriyama T, Leahy T, Komarnitsky P, Fujiwara K. Cancer Sci. 2017 Sep;108(9):1834-1842.

12. Combination chemotherapy with docetaxel and carboplatin for elderly patients with endometrial cancer.

Yoshida H, Imai Y, Fujiwara K.

Mol Clin Oncol. 2016 May;4(5):783-788.

- T-LAK Cell-Originated Protein Kinase (TOPK) as a Prognostic Factor and a Potential Therapeutic Target in Ovarian Cancer. Ikeda Y, Park JH, Miyamoto T, Takamatsu N, Kato T, Iwasa A, Okabe S, Imai Y, Fujiwara K, Nakamura Y, Hasegawa K. Clin Cancer Res. 2016 Dec 15;22(24):6110-6117.
- 14. 悪性転化を伴う卵巣成熟嚢胞性奇形腫の4例.
  佐藤翔、長谷川幸清、市川大介、新谷大輔、矢野友梨、宮坂亞希、藪野彰、今井雄一、
  西川忠曉、田丸俊輔、黒崎亮、吉田裕之、安田政実、藤原恵一.
  関東連合産科婦人科学会誌(2016年、53巻(1号)、127-132頁)
- 15. 閉経後に発症した Sertoli-Leydig 細胞腫の1例.
  鷹野夏子、黒崎亮、市川大介、新谷大輔、佐藤翔、矢野友梨、小笠原仁子、宮坂亞希、 藪野彰、今井雄一、吉田裕之、榊美佳、長谷川幸清、安田政実、藤原恵一.
  埼玉産科婦人科学会雑誌(2016 年、46 巻(1号)、35-39 頁)
- 16. Efficacy of estrogen replacement therapy (ERT) on uterine growth and acquisition of bone mass in patients with Turner syndrome.
  Nakamura T, Tsuburai T, Tokinaga A, Nakajima I, Kitayama R, Imai Y, Nagata T, Yoshida H, Hirahara F, Sakakibara H.
  Endocr J. 2015 Aug;62(11):965-70.
- 17. The Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm (ROMA) as a Predictive Marker of Peritoneal Dissemination in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer Patients.
  Ikeda Y, Hasegawa K, Kurosaki A, Miyara A, Hanaoka T, Shintani D, Imai Y, Nishikawa T, Oda K, Fujiwara K.
  Oncol Res Treat. 2015 May;38(6):276-81.

18. 乳癌合併妊娠 5 例の母児の転帰.

古賀絵理、青木茂、今井雄一、持丸綾、笠井絢子、望月昭彦、倉澤健太郎、奥田美加、 高橋恒男、平原史樹.

神奈川産科婦人科学会誌(2015年、51巻(2号)、165-168頁)

- 19. 内膜細胞診で砂粒小体を伴う腫瘍細胞を認めた卵巣漿液性境界悪性腫瘍の1例.
   加藤智美、矢島沙紀、佐瀬智子、鎌倉靖夫、清水道生、今井雄一、安田政実.
   日本臨床細胞学会雑誌(2015年、54巻(3号)、216-220頁)
- 20. パクリタキセル・カルボプラチン併用療法が奏功した卵巣および卵管癌肉腫の5例.
  矢野友梨、西川忠曉、市川大介、新谷大輔、藪野彰、今井雄一、池田悠至、黒崎亮、吉田裕之、長谷川幸清、藤原恵一、安田政実.
  埼玉産科婦人科学会雑誌(2014年、44巻(2号)、122-126頁)
- 21. 子宮頸部多発嚢胞性病変の取り扱いについて 2 症例の経験から.
  谷口華子、古屋充子、時長亜弥、今井雄一、長谷川哲哉、佐藤美紀子、沼崎令子、宮城 悦子、平原史樹.

関東連合産科婦人科学会誌(2013年、50巻(4号)、679-685頁)

22. MPA 療法による高度の脱落膜変化により子宮内膜癌への進行との鑑別に苦渋した子宮 腺筋症併存子宮内膜異型増殖症の1例.
新井夕果、今井雄一、山本葉子、丸山康世、永田智子、井畑穰、中村朋美、佐藤美紀子、 沼崎令子、宮城悦子、榊原秀也、平原史樹.
日本産科婦人科学会神奈川地方部会会誌(2013年、49巻(2号)、22-27頁) 23. 骨盤内に腫瘤を形成し婦人科疾患との鑑別に苦慮した悪性リンパ腫の1症例. 蘇原慧美、山本葉子、今井雄一、佐藤美紀子、丸山康世、小林有紀、沼崎令子、杉浦賢、 宮城悦子、平原史樹、古屋充子.

日本産科婦人科学会神奈川地方部会会誌(2012年、49巻(1号)、2-3頁)